



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,269	08/05/2003	Ming Gao Yao	12553/73	7073

7590 06/04/2008
KENYON & KENYON
Suite 600
333 W. San Carlos, Street
San Jose, CA 95110-2711

EXAMINER

BLOUIN, MARK S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2627

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

06/04/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/634,269	Applicant(s) YAO ET AL.	
	Examiner Mark Blouin	Art Unit 2627	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period **will** apply and **will** expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply **will**, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-14 and 16-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5,7-14, and 16-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

- The reply filed on February 28, 2008 was applied to the following effect: Applicant presented arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4,10-13,19-26, and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cox et al (US 5,644,452).
3. Regarding Claims 1 and 10, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) a system for a magnetic head arm assembly (HAA) comprising: a first component (14 – including ground run 22) having a first cavity (21) to be coupled to an arm portion (16) having an arm cavity (20) via insertion of a pin element (18), independent of the first component and the arm portion, through the first cavity and the arm cavity and welded (*to join (metals) by applying heat, sometimes with pressure and sometimes with an intermediate or filler metal having a high melting point*) between said first component and said arm portion, wherein the surface of said pin element is **directly attached to and physically connected** (the pin 18 is directly attached to along a surface of said first component (flex cable 14) on ground run surface 22 by the solder, and is press fitted into hole 20 of arm portion 16 – Col 3, line 37)) and a surface of said arm portion, wherein said first

Art Unit: 2627

component (14) is selected from the group consisting of a head suspension portion (54) and a flex cable portion (14).

4. Regarding Claims 2 and 11, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said head suspension portion (54) is a hard disk drive head gimbal assembly (HGA) - (Col 4, line 67).

5. Regarding Claims 3 and 12, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said flex cable portion (14) is a hard disk drive (Abstract and Col 1, lines 13-19) flex cable.

6. Regarding Claims 4 and 13, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said arm (14) portion is a hard disk drive (Col 1, lines 16-20) arm.

7. Regarding Claims 19 and 27, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) a system for a magnetic head arm assembly (HAA) comprising: a first component (50) to be coupled to a second component (62) having an arm cavity (44) via a pin (42) independent of the first component and the second component and welding said first component to said second component, wherein said first component is selected from the group consisting of a head suspension portion (54), a flex cable portion (62), and a flex circuit portion (62), and said second component is an arm portion (52).

8. Regarding Claims 20 and 28, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said first component is a hard disk drive slider frame (Col 4, line 67; a frame supporting the slider is inherent to a HAA) and said second component is selected from a group consisting of a hard disk drive head gimbal assembly (HGA), and a hard disk drive slider (Col 4, line 67; a slider holding the transducer head is inherent to a HAA)

9. Regarding Claims 21 and 29, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system of claim, wherein said head suspension portion (54) is a hard disk drive head gimbal assembly (Col 4, line 67).

Art Unit: 2627

10. Regarding Claims 22-24, and 30, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-3) the system, wherein said flex cable portion (62) is a hard disk drive flex cable, said flex circuit portion (62) is a hard disk drive bridge flex circuit (BFC – flex circuit (62) bridges the connection), and said arm portion is a hard disk drive arm (Abstract).

11. Regarding Claim 25,26,31, and 32, Cox et al shows (Figs. 1-4) wherein said first component is coupled to said second component via a type of welding selected from the group consisting of ultrasonic welding, solder bump welding, and laser welding (Col 3, line 52).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

13. Claims 5,7-9,14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox et al (US 5,644,452) in view of Cubero Pitel (US 6,160,239).

14. Regarding Claims 5,9,14, and 18, Cox et al shows all the features described, *supra*, but does not show a copper welding pin interference fitted into a first cavity and into the arm cavity to couple the first component to the arm portion.

Pitel shows (Figs. 4-6) a copper welding pin (21 – Col 1, line 53) interference fitted into a first cavity and into the arm cavity to couple the first component to the arm portion.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to couple the first component to the arm portion of Cox et al using the copper welding pin as taught by Cubero Pitel. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the

Art Unit: 2627

time the invention was made would have been motivated to couple the first component to the arm portion of Cox et al using the copper welding pin as taught by Cubero Pitel in order to secure two substrates together with art recognized equivalent methods (soldering welding, application of heat).

15. Regarding Claims 7,8,16, and 17, **Official Notice** is taken that holes and recessions can be of circular and rectangular shape, and other various shapes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to shape a hole to be circular or rectangular. The rationale is as follows: One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have been motivated to shape a hole to be circular or rectangular in order to match the shape of the pin for insertion.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments filed February 28, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts on page 10:

"Column 3, lines 32-44 state: "Each solder joint 12 includes a pin 18 inserted into hole 20 in rotary arm 16. Each hole 20 can be drilled into rotary arm 16 at a cost which is cheaper to produce than the tapped holes required to secure flex cable 14 to rotary arm 16 with a screw. Pin 18 is pressed into hole 20 in rotary arm 16. Flex cable 14 includes a guide hole 21 for receiving each pin 18 when flex cable 14 is properly aligned adjacent to rotary arm 16. Hole 21 is preferably larger in area than hole 20 so that pin 18 can easily fit through it. In preferred embodiments, a solder pad is placed around hole 21. After flex cable 14 is placed over pin 18, it can be soldered in place, thus securing flexible cable 14 to rotary arm 16." This section describes pin 18 is pressed into hole 20, and that hole 21 is larger than hole 20. Therefore, if pin is pressed into hole 20, and hole 21 is larger than hole 20, it stands to reason that pin 18 is not directly attached to and physically connected to hole 21 - as shown in Figure 1 and as argued above. Therefore, the current rejection is lacking."

The Examiner maintains that pin (18) is clearly shown to be "directly attached" and "physically connected" to a first component (14) by the solder joint (12). It stands to reason, if

this were not the case, the pin would fail to hold the first component to the rotary arm (16).
Therefore, the rejection of the above claims is upheld.

Conclusion

17. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Blouin whose telephone number is 571-272-7583. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:00 to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Bill Korzuch, can be reached on 571-272-7589. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2627

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Mark Blouin/

Acting Examiner of Art Unit 2600

Mark Blouin
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2627
November 14, 2007